



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/488202	1-19-2000	La Torre	028870-057

EXAMINER	
RACHEL BENNETT	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	15

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) RACHEL BENNETT (3) MARY GRANT
(2) G.S. KISHORE (4) DAVID GREENSPAN
(5) JOSHUA ELLIOTT

Date of interview 5-1-01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claims on record

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record, Bonfeld in particular

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney argued that Bonfeld cannot be considered as relevant since the reference is concerned with soft tissues. However it would appear that Bonfeld's teachings are pertinent since he teaches the applicability of bio glass to both hard & soft tissues and instant claims are drawn to both nails & adjacent tissues (which are soft). David Greenspan explained the composition's ability to penetrate into the pores of the nails. It was suggested that comparative data comparing to other calcium ion generally solution be submitted in a declaration. Any amendment (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

GOLLAMUDI S. KISHORE, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1500

Examiner's Signature

may or may not be entered
PTOL 413 (REV. 2-93)